#### SARBANES AMENDMENT NO. 3104

Mr. SARBANES proposed an amendment to the resolution, Senate Resolution 199, supra; as follows:

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following: "That the Special Committee should, in response to the offer of the White House, exhaust all available avenues of negotiation, cooperation, or other joint activity in order to obtain the notes of former White House Associate Counsel William H. Kennedy, III, taken at the meeting of November 5, 1993. The Special Committee shall make every possible effort to work cooperatively with the White House and other parties to secure the commitment of the Independent Counsel and the House of Representatives not to argue in any forum that the production of the Kennedy notes to the Special Committee constitutes a waiver of attorney-client privilege."

The preamble is amended to read as fol-

"Whereas the White House has offered to provide the Special Committee to Investigate Whitewater Development Corporation and Related Matters ('the Special Committee') the notes taken by former Associate White House Counsel William H. Kennedy, III, while attending a November 5, 1993 meeting at the law offices of Williams and Connolly, provided there is not a waiver of the attorney client privilege;

"Whereas the White House has made a well-founded assertion, supported by respected legal authorities, that the November 5, 1993 meeting is protected by the attorney-client privilege;

"Whereas the attorney-client privilege is a fundamental tenet of our legal system which the Congress has historically respected;

"Whereas whenever the Congress and the President fail to resolve a dispute between them and instead submit their disagreement to the courts for resolution, an enormous power is vested in the judicial branch to write rules that will govern the relationship between the elected branches;

"Whereas an adverse precedent could be established for the Congress that would make it more difficult for all congressional committees to conduct important oversight and other investigatory functions;

"Whereas when a dispute occurs between the Congress and the President, it is the obligation of each to make a principled effort to acknowledge, and if possible to meet, the legitimate needs of the other branch;

"Whereas the White House has made such an effort through forthcoming offers to the Special Committee to resolve this dispute; and

"Whereas the Special Committee will obtain the requested notes much more promptly through a negotiated resolution of this dispute than a court suit:".

# THE LIVESTOCK CONCENTRATION REPORT ACT OF 1995

### HATCH AMENDMENT NO. 3105

Mr. DOLE (for Mr. HATCH) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1340) to require the President to appoint a Commission on Concentration in the Livestock Industry; as follows:

Sec. 4 Duties of Commission: delete lines 9 and 10 (page 9) and add:

(2) to request the Attorney General to report on the application of the antitrust laws and operation of other Federal laws applicable, with respect to concentration and vertical integration in the procurement and pric-

ing of slaughter cattle and of slaughter hogs by meat packers;

Sec. 4(b) Solicitation of Information. Line 7 page 10 insert: 'industry employees'.

### THE IRAN FOREIGN OIL SANCTIONS ACT OF 1995

### KENNEDY (AND D'AMATO) AMENDMENT NO. 3106

Mr. SANTORUM (for Mr. KENNEDY, for himself and Mr. D'AMATO) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1228) to impose sanctions on foreign persons exporting petroleum products, natural gas, or related technology to Iran; as follows:

At the end of the bill, add the following new section:

#### SEC. . APPLICATION OF THE ACT TO LIBYA.

The sanctions of this Act, including the terms and conditions for the imposition, duration, and termination of sanctions, shall apply to persons making investments for the development of petroleum resources in Libya in the same manner as those sanctions apply under this Act to persons making investments for such development in Iran.

#### REIMBURSEMENTS TO STATES FOR FEDERALLY FUNDED EM-PLOYEES DURING SHUT DOWN

### DOMENICI (AND OTHERS) AMENDMENT NO. 3107

Mr. SANTORUM (for Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. LOTT, Mr. WARNER, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. COHEN, Mr. EXON, Mr. PRESSLER, Mrs. HUTCHISON, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. THOMAS, Mr. COHEN, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. KERREY, Mr. GRASSLEY, and Mr. HARKIN) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1429) to provide clarification in the reimbursement to States for federally funded employees carrying out Federal programs during the lapse in appropriations between November 14, 1995, through November 19, 1995; as follows:

Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

#### SECTION 1. CLARIFICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT TO STATES FOR FEDERALLY FUND-ED EMPLOYEES.

Section 124 of the joint resolution entitled "A joint resolution making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year 1996, and for other purposes", approved November 20, 1995 (Public Law 104-56) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(b)(1) If during the period beginning November 14, 1995, through November 19, 1995, a State used State funds to continue carrying out a Federal program or furloughed State employees whose compensation is advanced or reimbursed in whole or in part by the Federal Government—

"(A) such furloughed employees shall be compensated at their standard rate of compensation for such period;

"(B) the State shall be reimbursed for expenses that would have been paid by the Federal Government during such period had appropriations been available, including the cost of compensating such furloughed employees, together with interest thereon due under section 6503(d) of title 31, United States Code; and

"(C) the State may use funds available to the State under such Federal program to reimburse such State, together with interest thereon due under section 6503(d) of title 31, United States Code.

"(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term 'State' shall have the meaning as such term is defined under the applicable Federal program under paragraph (1).".

## AUTHORITY FOR COMMITTEE TO MEET

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Mr. SANTORUM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources be granted permission to meet during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, December 20, 1995, for purposes of conducting a full committee hearing which is scheduled to begin at 9:30 a.m. The purpose of this hearing is to consider S.594, Presidio, to review a map associated with the San Francisco Presidio. Specifically, the purposes are to determine which properties within the Presidio of San Francisco should be transferred to the administrative jurisdiction of the Presidio Trust and to outline what authorities are required to ensure that the trust can meet the objective of generating revenues sufficient to operate the Presidio without a Federal appropriation.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY

Mr. SANTORUM. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be authorized to hold a business meeting during the session of the Senate on Wednesday, December 20, 1995, at 10 a.m. in SD226.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

### ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

### BUDGET SCOREKEEPING REPORT

• Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, I hereby submit to the Senate the budget scorekeeping report prepared by the Congressional Budget Office under section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as amended. This report meets the requirements for Senate scorekeeping of section 5 of Senate Concurrent Resolution 32, the first concurrent resolution on the budget for 1986.

This report shows the effects of congressional action on the budget through December 18, 1995. The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues, which are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of the 1996 concurrent resolution on the budget (H. Con. Res. 67), show that current level spending is under the budget resolution by \$131.3 billion in budget authority and by \$55.0 billion in outlays. Current level is \$43 million below the revenue floor in 1996 and \$0.7 billion below the revenue floor